

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,618	01/04/2001	Rainer Pflug	PFLUG	4677	
20151	7590 05/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
	HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC 350 FIFTH AVENUE			SY, MARIANO ONG	
SUITE 4714	. 2.102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10118		3683		

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/754,618	PFLUG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mariano Sy	3683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuary 2005.			
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		_		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Bureau		•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)	-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/754,618 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 25, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,618

Art Unit: 3683

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niina (US 5,921,684) in view of "Technical Book, Ball and Roller Bearings, Publisher John Wiley & Sons, Third Edition, pp. 38-41.

Re-claim 1 Niina discloses, as shown in fig. 5, a thrust ball bearing 14 comprising first 14a and second 14b circular ring shaped bearing disks moving eccentrically to one another, and bearing balls 14c for rolling along circular tracks 11a, 13a.

However Niina fails to disclose said first and second bearing disks made from a through-hardened ferrous material of martensitic structure across an entire cross section.

Technical book, Ball and Roller Bearings, publisher John Wiley & Sons, third Edition, pp. 38-41 teaches the rolling contact components (such as bearing rings which are the raceways and rolling elements which are the balls) are through-hardened by swiftly quenching the heated components in oil or salt baths; the heat treatment changes the microstructure of the material (austenite when red-hot) into martensite, see last paragraph of page 38 and line 1 of page 39.

One well versed in the art would have been instructed by the "Technical Book" reference suitable for bearing use the known through-hardened ferrous material of martensitic structure across an entire cross section to use as the bearing disks of Niina, in order to extend the usage and life of the bearings.

Re-claims 2, 3, 8, and 9 Niina was silent to show wherein the bearing disk are made of unalloyed, low-alloy or high-alloy ferrous material and made of a steel selected from the group consisting of C 45, C 55, C67, C 75. Technical book, Ball and Roller

Application/Control Number: 09/754,618

Art Unit: 3683

at a second

Bearings teaches bearing disks made of unalloyed, low-alloy or high-alloy ferrous material and made of a steel selected from the group consisting of C 45, C 55, C67, C 75. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have use the wide array of alloy material to be used in the bearing disks of Niina, in view of the teaching of Technical book, Ball and Roller Bearings, depending upon the size, load, and environment being applied.

Re-claim 6 Niina discloses, as shown in figure 5, thrust ball bearing for use in a scroll compressor having a housing 13, a revolving scroll member 11 mounted on a crank pin of a shaft 15a, a stationary scroll member 12, said first bearing disk connected with the revolving scroll member and said second bearing disk securely fixed to the housing, whereby a compressor space P is formed during interaction of the revolving and the stationary scroll member.

Re-claim 7, Niina discloses, as shown in fig. 5, a scroll compressor comprising: a housing 13, a stationary scroll member 12, a revolving scroll member 11, a compression space P, a thrust ball bearing 14 having a first bearing disk 14a, a second bearing disk 14b, and bearing balls 14c.

However Niina fails to disclose said first and second bearing disks made from a through-hardened ferrous material of martensitic structure across an entire cross section.

Technical book, Ball and Roller Bearings, publisher John Wiley & Sons, third Edition, pp. 38-41 teaches the rolling contact components (such as bearing rings which are the raceways and rolling elements which are the balls) are through-hardened by

swiftly quenching the heated components in oil or salt baths; the heat treatment changes the microstructure of the material (austenite when red-hot) into martensite, see last paragraph of page 38 and line 1 of page 39.

One well versed in the art would have been instructed by the "Technical Book" reference suitable for bearing use the known through-hardened ferrous material of martensitic structure across an entire cross section to use as the bearing disks of Niina, in order to extend the usage and life of the bearings.

Re-claims 4, 5, 10, and 11 Niina disclosed the bearing disks made by non-cutting shaping process which is produced by "press work" (see col. 4, lines 18-22). Thus, the claimed product, i.e., the thrust ball bearing of claim 4 and scroll compressor of claim 10 would have been obvious.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the press-work to proceed at typical shaping speed; as for the specific speed of shaping, as claimed, would not effect the difference in feature in the final product and thus the final product would not be distinguishable from the prior art.

These claims are recognized as Product by Process claims. The determination of patentability is based on the product itself, even though the claim may be limited and defined by the process. The product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as or obvious from the product of the prior art, even if the prior product was made by a different process. See In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 697, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,618

Art Unit: 3683

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-7126.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bucci, can be reached on 571-272-7099. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Sy

May 24, 2005

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

GROUP 310